# **Preparation, electrical resistivity and its**  temperature dependence of RuO<sub>2</sub>-polystyrene **composite powder**

# T. NISHIZAWA, M. SENNA, H. KUNO

*Faculty of Science and Technology, Keio University, Yokohama 223, Japan* 

 $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ -polystyrene composite powder was prepared by admixing fine powdered  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ and polystyrene beads thoroughly in ethanol.  $\mathsf{RuO}_2\text{-}\mathsf{coated}$  polystyrene beads were also prepared by direct suspension polymerization of styrene in an aqueous phase in the presence of a suspended RuO<sub>2</sub> powder. The apparent d.c. volume resistivity,  $\rho_a$ , of the hot-pressed composite was measured at various temperatures (20 to 80°C), pressures (3.5 to 35 MPa) and volume fractions of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $\phi$ , (0.008 to 0.10). The volume resistivity increased linearly with temperature on a double logarithmic scale. The equilibrium volume resistivity at constant temperature,  $\rho_{aT}$ , decreased remarkably when  $\phi$  increased from 0.025 to 0.04 for the mixed material, due to the establishment of a continuous network of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  in this region, as revealed by scanning electron microscopy. The composite prepared by direct polymerization completed a network of the conductive phase at a much smaller volume fraction of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ . The average size of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  aggregates covering the surface of polystyrene agreed fairly well with the calculated value based on the simple geometry.

# **1. Introduction**

Among many kinds of inorganic-plastic or metalplastic composite materials, plastic-cored particles coated with fine electrically conducting particles have scientific and applicational interests [1]. After we had published detailed properties of a silver-polystyrene powder mixture [2], we developed a composite powder comprising of ruthenium oxide and polystyrene.  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ , used widely for modern electronic devices, has a specific electrical resistance which is as low as those for metals [3]. Since the primary particle of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  is much smaller than usual metal powders and has no problem with insulating oxide layers on conducting metal particles,  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  is one of the most suitable materials for making thin conductive layers on plastic surfaces.

Homogeneous coating of plastic beads with fine powdery  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  is not very easy because of its strong aggregating tendency in liquids. Once the plastic beads are successfully coated and compacted subsequently by a suitable method, very high apparent specific conductivity can be obtained with a small content of the conducting phase, due to the ordered mixing of the conducting powder particles [4].

The main purpose of the present study is divided into two parts. One is to prepare the  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ -polystyrene composite powder with a uniform coating of well dispersed  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  on polystyrene beads. The other is to elucidate the electrical resistivity and its temperature dependence of the compacted composite and to discuss in conjunction with its microstructure.

## **2. Experimental details**

## **2.1.** Starting materials

RuO2 was supplied by Shoei Kagaku Ltd. The median diameter of the polygonal primary particles is approximately  $0.1 \mu m$ . The surface of Ru02 was cleaned with benzene in a Soxhlet extractor for 30h. Sieved polystyrene beads, supplied by Showa Denko Co., has a narrow particle size distribution around the median

value, 50  $\mu$ m. True densities are 6.84 g cm<sup>-3</sup> for  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  and 1.06 g cm<sup>-3</sup> for polystyrene. Specific electric resistivity of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  single crystals is  $3.4 \times 10^{-5}$   $\Omega$  cm [3].

#### 2.2. Preparation of composite powders

Two different methods were employed. (a) Mixing method: after washing the polystyrene beads and  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  separately in ethanol, a 3 g batch containing two constituents in a predetermined ratio was mixed thoroughly together in  $150 \text{ cm}^3$  ethanol in an ultrasonic bath for 20 min. Ethanol was then evaporated at  $70^{\circ}$  C for 4 h by agitating constantly with a magnetic stirrer. (b) Polymerization method: with the aid of ultrasonic vibration, one gram  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  was dispersed in 10g distilled styrene monomer containing 1 g benzoyl peroxide as an initiator. The monomer was mixed subsequently with  $200 \text{ ml H}_2O$ . Polymerization was carried out at  $80^{\circ}$  C in a 1 litre glass reactor in  $N<sub>2</sub>$  atmosphere for 6.5 h. Details of the polymerization technique will be published separately.

#### 2.3. Hot-pressing

A cylindrical die cavity of 10mm diameter was filled with a 0.3 g composite powder. The material was then compressed under the predetermined pressure and heated at a constant rate of heating,  $0.5 K min^{-1}$ , up to the maximum temperature (40 to  $160^{\circ}$  C), kept constant for 0 to 6h, and then cooled down by simply turning the heater off. The applied pressure was taken off only after the compact had been cooled down to the room temperature.

#### 2.4. Measurement of electrical resistivity of compacts

Two square silver electrodes  $(6 \text{ mm} \times 6 \text{ mm})$  were cemented on both ends of the cylindrical compact with an electroconductive paste. Overall apparent electrical resistivity,  $\rho$ , was measured with a precise d.c. double bridge (Yokogawa, Type 2752) ( $\leq$  100  $\Omega$ ) or a digital ohmmeter (Takeda, TR 6834) ( $> 100 \Omega$ ) in a dry N<sub>2</sub> atmosphere. The volume resistivity,  $\rho_a$ , was calculated by



*Figure 1* Scanning electron micrographs of the  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ -polystyrene composites. (a) mixing method,  $\phi = 0.025$ ; (b) mixing method,  $\phi = 0.04$ ; (c) mixing method,  $\phi = 0.06$ ; (d) polymerization method,  $\phi = 0.0078$ .



*Figure 2* Cross sectional views of composites compacted at 3.5 MPa. Symbols (a) to (d) correspond to those used in Fig. 1.

multiplying  $\rho$  by  $l/s$ , where *l* and *s* are the thickness and the cross-sectional area of the compact, respectively.

## **3. Results**

#### 3.1. Microscopic observations

Scanning electron micrographs of some composites are given in Fig. 1. By the mixing method, the surface of polystyrene seems to be covered entirely with  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  at  $\phi = 0.04$  as shown in Fig. 1b. Since the degree of dispersion of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  is better in the polymerization method, the surface looks much smoother as shown in Fig. 1d. The size of aggregates of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  on the surface of polystyrene are between 1 to 5  $\mu$ m, whereas larger aggregates are often found in the interspherical voids. Fig. 2 shows cross sectional views of the hot-pressed composites. The bright polygonal boundaries are  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  layers, as confirmed by an electron probe microanalysis. Fig. 2 clearly shows the completeness of the network at  $\phi = 0.04$  for the mixing method (Fig. 2b), and  $\phi = 0.0078$  for the polymerization method (Fig. 2d).

#### 3.2. Variation of apparent volume resistivity

The volume resistivity,  $\rho_a$ , varied with time and temperature as shown in Fig. 3, for the sample with  $\phi = 0.04$ , hot pressed at 35 MPa. Since  $\rho_a$  did not return to the initial value at the end of the first temperature cycle, all resistivity data were taken from the second temperature cycle, which was closed. The volume resistivity increased with increasing temperature and decreased with time under constant temperature, reaching an equilibrium value,  $\rho_{aT}$ . When temperature is lowered,  $\rho_a$  went down again and reached an initial value of  $\rho_a$ , thus the resistivity change was reversible.

## 3.3. Variation of  $\rho_{\text{aT}}$  with RuO<sub>2</sub> content

The volume resistivity at constant temperature (80 $^{\circ}$  C) decreased with increasing RuO<sub>2</sub> content and compressive stress, as shown in Fig. 4. Between  $\phi = 0.025$  and 0.04, the decrease in  $\rho_{aT}$  is particularly steep for the samples prepared by the mixing method. For the purpose of comparison, some mixtures were prepared by mixing poly-



*Figure 3* Variation of volume resistivity with time and/or temperature.

styrene and  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  simply by a V-mixer in air. The results with these composites are shown in Fig. 4 with triangles. With poorer dispersion of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ ,  $\rho<sub>aT</sub>$  is much higher than those thoroughly admixed. No data for the polymerization method was plotted in Fig. 4, because the wide variation of  $\phi$  with the polymerization method was not possible. However, the better dispersion of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ 



*Figure 4* Relation between  $\ln \rho_{\text{aT}}$  at 80° C and  $\phi$ .

on polystyrene was obvious from the equilibrium volume resistance shown in Table I.

#### 3.4. Change in  $\rho_a$  with the ambient temperature

When the volume resistivity is plotted against temperature on a double logarithmic scale, linear relations are always obtained as shown in Fig. 5. Hence the relation between  $\rho_{\rm AT}$  and the temperature is expressed as

$$
\rho_{aT} = A T^n \tag{1}
$$

where A is a constant, similar to that for the  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ single crystal  $[3]$ . The exponent, *n*, decreases also with increasing  $\phi$ , approaching to the value of RuO2 single crystal shown with a horizontal broken line in Fig. 6.

#### 3.5. Effects of hot-pressing temperature and holding time

The equilibrium volume resistivity shows a minimum at  $140^{\circ}$  C when plotted against the maximum temperature of hot-pressing, as shown in Fig. 7 (indicated by circles). The temperature exponent,  $n$ , on the other hand, decreases monotonically with temperature, as shown by the squares in

TABLE I The equilibrium volume resistance,  $\rho_{aT}$ , of the hot-pressed  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ -polystyrene composites, at the temperature just above the softening point

Preparation method	Φ	$\rho_{\rm aT}$	$T(^{\circ}C)$
Mixing	0.025	$2.1 \times 10^{4}$	80
	0.04	52	80
Polymerization	0.0078	130	40



*Figure 5* Relation between  $\ln \rho_a$  and  $\ln T$ .

Fig. 7. With a longer hold time at the maximum temperature, 140°C, during hot-pressing, both  $\rho_{\text{aT}}$  and *n* become larger, as shown in Fig. 8.

#### **4. Discussion**

## **4.1. Completion of RuO<sub>2</sub> network**

As shown in Figs. 1 and 2, the network of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ 



*Figure 6* Relation between  $n$  and  $\phi$ .



*Figure 7* Variation of n with temperature.

phase on the surface of polystyrene is almost completed between  $\phi = 0.025$  and 0.04 when the mixing method is employed. This corresponds to the steep decrease in the volume resistivity shown in Fig. 4. When the coverage by  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  is incomplete, the neighbouring polystyrene beads are readily coalesced and the  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  network is discontinuous. By assuming that particles of both components are spherical, a theoretical relationship between the critical volume concentration of the conductive phase,  $V_{\rm e}$ , at which the network is completed, and the diameter ratio of the con-



*Figure 8* Variation of  $\ln \rho_{aT}$  at 80° C with holding time. Holding temperature: 140°C.

ducting and the plastic phases has been proposed by Malliaris and Turner [5]. Kusy [6] modified the former equation and suggested an alternative one;

$$
V_{\rm c} = (1 + 0.756 R_{\rm p}/R_{\rm m})^{-1} \tag{2}
$$

where  $R_{\rm p}$  and  $R_{\rm m}$  are the diameters of polystyrene and  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ , respectively.

Starting from an assumption that  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  particles are all ideally dispersed into primary particles and putting  $R_p/R_m = 50/0.1$  or 500 into Equation 2, we obtain  $V_c$  as 0.0026. As shown in Fig. 4, the volume fraction of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  at the completion of the network was between 0.025 and 0.04, suggesting that in spite of thorough dispersing and admixing,  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  particles are aggregated. This is actually observed in Fig. 2. Conversely, if we take the observed value of  $V_c$  as 0.0025 and 0.04, then  $R_p/R_m$  is 51.6 and 31.7, and accordingly, the average diameter of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$ is estimated as 1.0 or 1.6  $\mu$ m, which agrees fairly well with the observation shown in Fig. lb. By the polymerization method; the  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  network completed even at  $V_e = 0.0078$ . The corresponding average diameter of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  is 0.3  $\mu$ m, which agrees reasonably well with the electron micrograph shown in Fig. 1 d.

#### 4.2. Temperature dependence of  $\rho_a$

 $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  shows metallic conduction [3] and the specific resistance increases linearly with  $T^n$ , *n* being a constant. When  $\ln \rho_a$  is plotted against In  $T$  for the present composites, linear relations are obtained from which we calculated the expon-

ent. *n* decreased with increasing  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  loading, as shown in Fig. 6. The variation of *n* with  $\phi$  is quite similar to those of  $\rho_{aT}$ . That the exponent  $n$  varies with polystyrene content implies that, in spite of the very high resistivity of polystyrene, the plastic phase is not completely inert for the electrical conductivity of the composite. In other words, the plastic phase in the present composite powder cannot be interpreted as a simple filler or a spacer. The role of polystyrene on the conduction mechanism of the present composite is not yet clear. It would be possible to assume that the tunnel effect is involved, as was suggested for the conduction mechanism of carbon black loaded rubbers [7].

#### 4.3. Increase in  $\rho_{aT}$  with holding time

In the case of a silver-polystyrene mixture with similar particle sizes [2], the dominating factor for the increase in  $\rho_{\text{aT}}$  with holding time during hot-pressing was supposed to be the wetting of the silver phase by softened polystyrene. In principle, a similar mechanism would also explain the increase in  $\rho_{aT}$  with holding time shown in Fig. 8. Because of the much finer particles of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  than silver particles used in the previous work [2], some other mechanisms could also be included. With a prolonged hot-pressing, a remarkable change in the texture of the composite was observed as shown in Fig. 9. The micrograph strongly suggests that a part of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  migrated from the surface boundary of polystyrene into the interior of the plastic phase, resulting in the decrease in the volume resistivity of the whole



*Figure 9* Cross sectional view of the compact of the composite prepared by the mixing method,  $\phi = 0.06$ , held at 140° C for 2 h during hot-pressing at 3.5 MPa.

hot-pressed composite. Although the precise mechanism of this kind of migration is not clear at the present step, the texture change shown in Fig. 9 should have disrupted the local  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  network and accordingly cause the decrease in  $\rho_{aT}$ .

#### 4.4. Concluding remarks

In order to obtain earlier completion of the network of the conductive phase with a minimum amount, good dispersion of the conductive phase is of vital importance. Although fairly good dispersion was achieved by admixing thoroughly the constituent powders, the average effective particle size of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  was still ten times as large as the primary  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  particle, recognizable with an electron microscope. Direct polymerization, on the other hand, seems very promising for the purpose of better dispersion of  $RuO<sub>2</sub>$  on the surface of plastic globules.

It has been indicated that the temperature exponent, *n,* of the volume resistivity changes with the content of plastic matrix phase. The role of the plastic phase is thus obviously more than that of a spacer. The subtle change in the

conduction mechanism due to the presence of the plastic matrix should, therefore, be studied further in order to elucidate the exact role of the polystyrene in the present composite.

#### **Acknowledgement**

The authors are grateful to Shoei Kagaku Co. and Showa Denko Co. for donating samples, and to Mr Ken Masuda for his experimental co-operation.

#### **References**

- 1. G.E. PIKE and C.H. SEAGER, *Jr. Appl. Phys.*  48 (1977) 5152.
- 2. R. MATSUSHITA, M. SENNA and H. KUNO, J. *Mater. ScL* 12 (1977) 509,
- 3. N.D. RYDEN and A.W. LAWSON, *Phys. Rev. B*  1 (1970) 1494.
- *4. J. A. HERSEY, Powder Technol.* 11 (1975) 41.
- 5. A. MALLIARIS and D. T. TURNER, *J. Appl. Phys.* 42 (1971) 614,
- 6. R.P. KUSY, *ibid.* 48 (1977) 5301.
- 7. M.H. POLLEY and B. BOONSTRA, *Rubber Chem. Technol.* 30 (1957) 170.

*Received 3 September and accepted 29 September 1982*